



Soil Erosion

Sheet and Rill Erosion

Planning Criteria	ria Planning Criteria Met			
Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$. Assessment level: The water erosion rate is $<=$ T.	Yes	No		
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met			
All non-traffic areas are vegetated.	Yes	No 🗌		
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No		
Wind Erosion				
Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met			
Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$. Assessment level: The wind erosion rate is $<=$ T.	Yes	No		
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met			
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No 🗌		
All non-traffic areas are vegetated.	Yes	No 🗌		





Classic Gully Erosion

Planning Criteria	Planning C	riteria Met	
Screening level: Classic gullies are not present. Assessment level: Classic gully management is adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and are offsite impacts are minimized by vegetation and/or structures.	Yes	No	
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation	Test Met	
Soil erosion in areas integrated with trees is controlled. There are no impacts on sensitive vegetation. There are no occurrences or enlargement of gullies.	Yes	No	
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No 🗌	
Water runoff from hard surfaces, such as building roofs, is controlled to the point that is does not cause erosion or large streams of water.	Yes	No 🗌	





Excess Water

Runoff and Flooding and Ponding

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met
Screening level: Ponding or flooding not a problem AND activities do not cause ponding/flooding problems. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.	Yes No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met
Water runoff from hard surfaces, such as building roofs, is controlled to the point that it does not cause flooding or ponding	Yes No





Water Quality Degradation

Nutrients in Surface Water

Planning Criteria		Planning Cri	teria Met
Screening level: Organic or inorganic nutries the PLU is not grazed AND there are no con Assessment level: Conservation practices an place to minimize surface water impacts AN protected from contamination due to runoff a sites, spill and other concentrated sources.	fined livestock areas. d managements are in D surface waters are	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests		Evaluation T	est Met
Manure and untreated runoff from animal per AFO is stopped from entering nearby stream irrigation ditches.		Yes	No
Sacrifice areas are properly sited.		Yes	No 🗌
Excess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, Bio-solids or in Surface Water Planning Criteria		r Compost Applications Planning Criteria Met	
Screening level: Potential sources of pathogonot applied on the land. Assessment level: O applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate new water sources.	rganic materials are	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests		Evaluation Test Met	
Livestock access to stream is controlled OR or crossing areas.	limited to small watering	Yes	No 🗌
Any water well(s) is located at least 100 feet feedlots, or similar AFO. Runoff from these		Yes	No 🗌
impervious barrier around the well prevents groundwater.			





Excess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, Bio-solids or Compost Applications in Ground Water

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
	Screening level: Potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals are not applied on the land. Assessment level: Organic materials are applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to groundwater sources.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
	Any water well(s) is located at least 100 feet from animal pens, feedlots, or similar AFO. Runoff from these areas is treated. An impervious barrier around the well prevents seepage into the groundwater.	Yes	No
<u>Ex</u>	xcessive Sediment in Surface Water		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
	Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$ AND classic gullies are not present AND streams or shoreline are not on or adjacent to site. Assessment level: Upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies AND the SVAP2 - bank condition $>= 5$ AND the livestock and vehicle water crossings are stable AND The water erosion rate is $<=$ T AND wind erosion rate is $<=$ T.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
	The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.	Yes	No
	Established filter strips are at least 30 feet wide and maintained.	Yes	No
	All small, temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized.	Yes 🗍	No 🔲





Air Quality Impacts

Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	riteria Met
Screening level: Activities are not present that contribute to agricultural source PM or PM precursor emissions AND episodes or complaints of emissions of PM (dust, smoke, exhaust, etc.), or chemical drift have not occurred. PM producing activity examples are: Prescribed Burn is conducted, Travel ways unpaved or untreated with binding agents, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, Pesticides are applied, Fertilization (manure/ commercial), CAFO/manure management). Assessment level: PM and PM Precursor emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation 7	Γest Met
Dust is controlled on all non-vegetated, unpaved travel ways.	Yes	No





Inefficient Energy Use

Equipment and Facilities

Planning Criteria	Planning C	riteria Met	
Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment an facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.		No	
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation	Test Met	
Energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, cooling, heating, o building insulation has been improved.	r Yes	No	
Renewable energy systems are applied. For example, solar, wind, geothermal, or hydro.	Yes	No	
Farming/Ranching Practices and Field Operations			
Planning Criteria	Planning C	riteria Met	
Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment an facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.	200	No	
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation	Evaluation Test Met	
Energy loss from driven equipment, irrigation, or pumping has been improved.	n Yes	No	